

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: John S. Patton et al.

Application No.: 10/693,318 Group No.: Unknown Filed: 10/24/2003 Examiner: Unassigned

For: METHOD AND DEVICE FOR DELIVERING AEROSOLIZED MEDICAMENTS

Mail Stop Missing Parts Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Petition

Sir:

On February 28, 2005, Applicant received a Notice of Omitted Items in a Nonprovisional application. As evidenced on the attached copy of Applicants PTO Acknowledgement Postcard, all 22 pages of specification, Claims, and abstract were included in the original filing. Enclosed is a copy of the originally filed page 20 of the specification as filed on October 24, 2003. Applicant respectfully requests the Office to maintain the filing date of October 24, 2003.

The Office is authorized to charge the Petition fee and any other fees that may be required to deposit account no. 500348.

Respectfully submitted,

Guy V. Tucker Reg. No. 45,302

Dated: 09 MAR 2008

Nektar Therapeutics 150 Industrial Road San Carlos, CA 94070 Phone: (650) 620-5501 Fax (650) 631-3125

)

PTO/SB/17p (11-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PETITION FEE Under 37 CFR 1.17(f), (g) & (h) TRANSMITTAL

(Fees are subject to annual revision)

Send completed form to: Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

THE TRATES

Application Number	10/693,318				
Filing Date	October 24, 2003				
First Named Inventor	John S. Patton				
Art Unit					
Examiner Name	Unassigned				
Attorney Docket Number	0001.13				

Enclosed is a petition filed under 37 CFR $_\S 1.182$ (g), or (h)). Payment of $\S 400.00$ is enclosed. This form should be included with the above-mentioned petition and fa	exed or mailed to the Office using the appropriate Mail Stop
Enclose a duplicative copy of this form for fee processing. Check in the amount of \$	e petition fees) owing fees to Deposit Account No. 500348 : any deficiency of fees and credit of any overpayments
Petition Fees under 37 CFR 1.17(f): Fee \$400 Fee Code For petitions filed under: § 1.53(e) - to accord a filing date. § 1.57(a) - to accord a filing date. § 1.182 - for decision on a question not specifically provided for. § 1.183 - to suspend the rules. § 1.378(e) - for reconsideration of decision on petition refusing to accept delayed § 1.741(b) - to accord a filing date to an application under § 1.740 for extension of Petition Fees under 37 CFR 1.17(g): Fee \$200 Fee, Code For petitions filed under: § 1.12 - for access to an assignment record. § 1.14 - for access to an application.	d payment of maintenance fee in an expired patent.
§ 1.47 - for filing by other than all the inventors or a person not the inventor. § 1.59 - for expungement of information. § 1.103(a) - to suspend action in an application. § 1.136(b) - for review of a request for extension of time when the provisions of s 1.295 - for review of refusal to publish a statutory invention registration. § 1.296 - to withdraw a request for publication of a statutory invention registration § 1.377 - for review of decision refusing to accept and record payment of a main § 1.550(c) - for patent owner requests for extension of time in exparte reexamin. § 1.956 - for patent owner requests for extension of time in interpartes reexamin § 5.12 - for expedited handling of a foreign filing license. § 5.15 - for changing the scope of a license. § 5.25 - for retroactive license.	n filed on or after the date the notice of intent to publish issued. tenance fee filed prior to expiration of a patent. ation proceedings.
Petition Fees under 37 CFR 1.17(h): Fee \$130 Fee Code For petitions filed under: § 1.19(g) - to request documents in a form other than that provided in this part. § 1.84 - for accepting color drawings or photographs. § 1.91 - for entry of a model or exhibit. § 1.102(d) - to make an application special. § 1.138(c) - to expressly abandon an application to avoid publication. § 1.313 - to withdraw an application from issue. § 1.314 - to defer issuance of a patent.	le_1464 03/15/2005 MGEBREM1 00000024 500348 10693318 01 FC:1462 400.00 DA
Signature Guy V. Tucker	Date 45,302
Typed or printed name	Registration No. if applicable

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 5 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



PTO Acknowledgment Postcard

Application Type Practitioner Docket No.

New Application 0001.13

Date Sent Customer No.

10/24/2003 21968

Mail Service

Express Mail

Express Mail Label No.

ER212309789US

Inventor(s)

John S. Patton et al.

Invention Title

METHOD AND DEVICE FOR DELIVERING AEROSOLIZED

MEDICAMENTS

Documents Submitted

Sent

Yes

Oath or Declaration **Express Mail Certificate** Yes

Drawings

Data Sheet 2 Specification, claims, abstract 22

22278 U.S. PTO 10/693318



Contact Person

Guy Tucker

Contact No.

650-621-5501

Best Available Copy

5

10

15

20



actuated. We checked for powder remaining in the venturi intake to determine whether there was an adequate air supply to disperse the powder. The particle size distribution measured from the chamber using an Aerosizer (API, Hadley, MA) particle size analyzer showed that the aerosol contained particles between 1 and 4 μm in diameter. Results

Results comparing the different chamber designs for containing the aerosol are reported in Table 1. The maximum volume of the aerosol contained by the chamber was calculated from the maximum operating time and the total airflow. proportion of the aerosol volume to the volume of the chamber given in the % Chamber Volume column is a way of comparing the effectiveness of the different chamber designs for containing the aerosol. The air volume needed to disperse 5 mg of powder could be efficiently captured in all of the chamber configurations tested. The designs that induced a vertical airflow patern in the chamber retained a larger volume of aerosol.

TABLE 1 Aerosol Capture Efficiency for several Holding Chamber Designs

		* of Increase						
Chamber		Aerosol Volume	<pre>% of Chamber Volume</pre>	Increase over base	Aerosol Volume	₹ OÎ	Increa	
1	Design 1 bottom fill	348 mL	45.8%		69.mL	9.24%		
1	Design 1 Langential Fill	665 mL	88.7%	1.94	95.3 mL	12.7%	1.38	
0	Design 2 Center Daffle	728 mL	97.1%	2.12	104 mL	13.94	1.50	
1	Design 3 Demisphere Daffle	950 mL	127%	2.77	164 mL	21.9%	2.37	
D	esign 4	855 mL	114%	2.49	161 mL	21.5%	2.33	